



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/658,795	09/11/2000	Charles A. Lemaire	750.006US1	4145

21186 7590 04/19/2005

SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.

P.O. BOX 2938

MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402

EXAMINER

PARDO, THUY N

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2165

DATE MAILED: 04/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/658,795

Applicant(s)

LEMAIRE ET AL.

Examiner

Thuy Pardo

Art Unit

2165

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 December 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 10-16 and 18-34 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 10-16 and 18-34 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

1. Applicant's Appeal Brief filed December 06, 2005 in response to Examiner's Final Office Action has been reviewed. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.
2. Claims 10-16 and 18-34 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

1. Claims 10-16 and 18-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Anderson et al. (Hereinafter "Anderson") U.S. Patent Application No. 2004/0158524.

As to claim 10, Anderson teaches the invention substantially as claimed, comprising:

receiving a plurality of transactions for the database system including a first transaction from a service provider 0026] and a second transaction from a service consumer [0033], wherein the first and the second transactions are each associated with the service consumer [ab; 0003].

storing the plurality of transactions into the database system [database server 36 of fig.

1];

selectively enabling access by the service consumer [customer can review transactions or wish to submit payments electronically, 0004-0005; 0033], based on an identification of the service consumer [account # and authorization code, 92 of fig. 4; 0016], to the stored first and second transactions associated with the service consumer to whom access is enabled [stored in database server 36 of fig. 1]; and

accessing the stored first and second transactions associated with the service consumer to whom access is enabled, the accessing being performed by the service consumer to whom access is enabled [customer can review transactions or wish to submit payments electronically, 0004-0005; 0033].

As to claim 11, Anderson teaches the invention substantially as claimed. Anderson further teaches receiving transactions by a docketing provider [ab].

As to claim 12, Anderson teaches the invention substantially as claimed. Anderson further teaches viewing a log of pending action items [0003-0006].

As to claim 13, Anderson teaches the invention substantially as claimed. Anderson further teaches that the service consumer uses a browser to access the stored transactions [0003-0006].

As to claim 14, all limitations of this claim have been addressed in the analysis of claim 10 above, and this claim is rejected on that basis.

As to claim 15, all limitations of this claim have been addressed in the analysis of claim 11 above, and this claim is rejected on that basis.

As to claim 16, Anderson teaches the invention substantially as claimed. Anderson further teaches that the transaction is associated with a service matter [credit and debit card transactions, 0006].

As to claims 18-21, it is a corresponding apparatus claims of claims 10-16 above; therefore, they are rejected under the same rationale.

As to claim 22, Anderson teaches the invention substantially as claimed. Anderson further teaches receiving an electronic message [0032], the system further comprising a decoder that extracts the transaction from the electronic message [0033].

As to claim 23, Anderson teaches the invention substantially as claimed. Anderson further teaches an input device that obtains a database transaction [inherent in the system]; an encoder that inserts the transaction into an electronic message [90-94 of fig. 4].

As to claim 24, Anderson teaches the invention substantially as claimed. Anderson further teaches a database stored in the storage, the database holding data for a plurality of service consumers including the first service consumer and for the first service provider [48, 50,

Art Unit: 2165

52 of fig. 2]; and a database transaction processor operatively coupled to the receiver of database transaction information and to the storage [database servers, 36 of fig. 1].

As to claims 25-27, all limitations of these claims have been addressed in the analysis of claims 11-13 above, and these claims are rejected on that basis.

As to claims 28-34, all limitations of these claims have been addressed in the analysis above, and these claims are rejected on that basis.

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 10-16 and 18-34 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thuy Pardo, whose telephone number is 571-272-4082. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dov Popovici, can be reached at 571-272-4083.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

(703) 872-9306 (Official Communication)

and/or:

Art Unit: 2165

571-273-4082 (*Use this Fax#, only after approval by Examiner, for "INFORMAL" or "Draft" communication. Examiner may request that a formal/amendment be faxed directly to then on occasions*).

Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

4. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 308-5359, (for informal or draft communications, please label

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121

Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Thuy Pardo
April 16, 2005



**THUY N. PARDO
PRIMARY EXAMINER**